## SEQR RESOLUTION BBL BARNETT LLC PROJECT

A regular meeting of City of Rensselaer Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency") was convened in public session at the office of the Agency located in the City of Rensselaer City Hall located at 62 Washington Street in the City of Rensselaer, Rensselaer County, New York on January 23, 2023 at 4:15 p.m., local time.

The meeting was called to order by the (Vice) Chairman of the Agency and, upon roll being called, the following members of the Agency were:

## PRESENT:

Michael Stammel	Chairman
John DeFrancesco	Vice Chairman
Andrew Kretzschmar	Secretary
Ray Stevens	Member

## ABSENT:

William Bulnes

Member

## AGENCY STAFF PRESENT INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING:

John J. Bonesteel	Chief Executive Officer/Treasurer
Madeline Rizzo	Executive Assistant
A. Joseph Scott, III, Esq.	Special Agency Counsel
Shannon E. Wagner	Special Agency Counsel

The following resolution was offered by Kretzschmar, seconded by Stevens, to wit:

## Resolution No. 0123-2

RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE DETERMINATION BY THE CITY OF RENSSELAER PLANNING COMMISSION TO ACT AS LEAD AGENCY FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF A PROJECT FOR THE BENEFIT OF BBL BARNETT LLC PROJECT AND ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION ISSUED WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, City of Rensselaer Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency") is authorized and empowered by the provisions of Chapter 1030 of the 1969 Laws of New York, constituting Title 1 of Article 18-A of the General Municipal Law, Chapter 24 of the Consolidated Laws of New York, as amended (the "Enabling Act") and Chapter 235 of the 1974 Laws of New York, as amended, constituting Section 903-c of said General Municipal Law (said Chapter and the Enabling Act being hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Act") to promote, develop, encourage and assist in the acquiring, constructing, reconstructing, improving, maintaining, equipping and furnishing of commercial facilities, among others, for the purpose of promoting, attracting and developing economically sound commerce and industry to advance the job opportunities, health, general prosperity and economic welfare of the people of the State of New York, to improve their prosperity and standard of living, and to prevent unemployment and economic deterioration; and WHEREAS, to accomplish its stated purposes, the Agency is authorized and empowered under the Act to acquire, construct and install one or more "projects" (as defined in the Act) or to cause said projects to be acquired, constructed and installed, and to convey said projects or to lease said projects with the obligation to purchase; and

WHEREAS, BBL Barnett LLC, a New York State limited liability company (the "Company"), submitted an application (the "Application") to the Agency, a copy of which Application is on file at the office of the Agency, which Application requested that the Agency consider undertaking a project (the "Project") for the benefit of the Company, said Project consisting of the following: (A) (1) the acquisition of an interest in a certain parcel of land containing approximately 6.52 acres located at 11 Forbes Avenue and 20 Forbes Avenue (tax map nos. 133.77-3-1; 133.69-1-2; 133.-3-7) in the City of Rensselaer, Rensselaer County, New York (the "Land"), together with eight (8) pre-existing historic mill-buildings (the "Facility"), (2) the reconstruction, renovation and rehabilitation of the Facility, and (3) the acquisition and installation therein and thereon of certain machinery, equipment and other tangible personal property (collectively, the "Equipment") (the Land, the Facility and the Equipment being collectively referred to as the "Project Facility"), all of the foregoing to be owned and operated by the Company as a mixed-use facility containing approximately 78,286 square feet of space for market-rate residential apartment space and 19,996 square feet of commercial space, and any other directly and indirectly related activities; (B) the granting of certain "financial assistance" (within the meaning of Section 854(14) of the Act) with respect to the foregoing, including potential exemptions from certain sales and use taxes, real property taxes, real property transfer taxes and mortgage recording taxes (collectively, the "Financial Assistance"); and (C) the lease (with an obligation to purchase) or sale of the Project Facility to the Company or such other person as may be designated by the Company and agreed upon by the Agency; and

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted by the members of the Agency on August 22, 2022 (the "Public Hearing Resolution"), the Agency authorized a public hearing to be held pursuant to Section 859-a of the Act with respect to the Project; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the authorization contained in the Public Hearing Resolution, the Chief Executive Officer of the Agency (A) caused notice of a public hearing of the Agency (the "Public Hearing") pursuant to Section 859-a of the Act, to hear all persons interested in the Project and the financial assistance being contemplated by the Agency with respect to the Project, to be mailed on September 15, 2022 to the chief executive officers of the county and of each city, town, village and school district in which the Project Facility is to be located, (B) caused notice of the Public Hearing to be posted on September 15, 2022 on a bulletin board located at Rensselaer City Hall located at 62 Washington Street in the City of Rensselaer, Rensselaer County, New York as well as on the Agency's website, (C) caused notice of the Public Hearing to be published on September 16, 2022 in <u>The Record</u>, a newspaper of general circulation available to the residents of the City of Rensselaer, New York, (D) conducted the Public Hearing on September 26, 2022 at 4:00 o'clock p.m., local time at Rensselaer City Hall located at 62 Washington Street in the City of Rensselaer, Rensselaer County, New York, and (E) prepared a report of the Public Hearing (the "Public Hearing Report") fairly summarizing the views presented at such Public Hearing and caused copies of said Public Hearing Report to be made available to the members of the Agency.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law, Chapter 43-B of the Consolidated Laws of New York, as amended (the "SEQR Act") and the regulations (the "Regulations") adopted pursuant thereto by the Department of Environmental Conservation of the State of New York (collectively with the SEQR Act, "SEQRA"), the Agency has been informed that (A) the City of Rensselaer Planning Commission (the "Planning Commission") was designated to act as the "lead agency" with respect to a study area consisting of approximately 75-acres of land in the northwest portion of the City of Rensselaer (the "Study Area"), (B) the Planning Commission on March 12, 2018, accepted a draft generic

environmental impact statement (the "DGEIS") prepared with respect to the Study Area which analyzed and evaluated potential environmental impacts equally with social and economic factors associated with the conceptual development of the Study Area, (C) the Planning Commission on August 13, 2018 adopted a Findings Statement relative to the DGEIS (the "Findings Statement," a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>) including specific conditions or criteria under which future projects could be undertaken or approved within the Study Area, and (D) the Planning Commission issued a Negative Declaration on January 9, 2023 (the "Negative Declaration"), a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit B</u>, determining that the acquisition, construction, reconstruction, renovation and installation of the Project Facility was (1) located in the Study Area, and (2) a "Type I action" which was adequately addressed in the Findings Statement and will not have a "significant effect on the environment" and accordingly, that an environmental impact statement is not required to be prepared with respect to the Project (as such quoted terms are defined in SEQRA); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to SEQRA, the Agency has received and reviewed: (A) the environmental assessment form attached to the Application(a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit C</u>), (B) the DGEIS, (C) the Findings Statement, and (D) the Negative Declaration (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Reviewed Documents"); and

WHEREAS, the Agency now desires to make a written findings statement relative to the Approved Project, as required by Section 617.11(c) of the Regulations;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MEMBERS OF CITY OF RENSSELAER INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Based upon a review of the Reviewed Documents, the Agency hereby makes the following findings and determinations:

- (A) The Agency hereby accepts the designation of the Planning Commission as "lead agency" with respect to the Project for purposes of SEQRA;
- (B) The Agency acknowledges receipt of the Reviewed Documents; and
- (C) The Agency hereby determines that the Agency has no information to suggest that the Lead Agency was incorrect in adopting the Reviewed Documents.

<u>Section 2</u>. Based upon consideration of the Findings Statement and a review thereof conducted by the members of the Agency at this meeting, the Agency hereby (A) concurs with the Findings Statement and (B) adopts the Findings Statement attached hereto to support the Agency's decision to proceed with the Project.

<u>Section 3</u>. The Agency hereby further finds and determines that:

- (A) The Agency has reviewed the Findings Statement and has considered the relevant environmental impacts, facts and conclusions disclosed in the Findings Statement;
- (B) The Agency has weighed and balanced the relevant environmental impacts identified in the Findings Statement with social, economic and other considerations;
- (C) The Agency has reviewed the Act, the Application and the Reviewed Documents, and based on said materials, the Agency finds no compelling reason not to proceed with the Project;

- (D) The requirements of SEQRA have been met with respect to the Project;
- (E) Consistent with social, economic and other essential considerations, from among the reasonable alternatives available, the Project minimizes or avoids adverse environmental impacts to the maximum extent practicable; and
- (F) Consistent with social, economic and other essential considerations, adverse environmental effects revealed in the Findings Statement will be minimized or avoided to the maximum extent practicable, by incorporating as conditions to any decision made by the Agency those mitigative measures identified as practicable in the Findings Statement.

Section 4. In consequence of the foregoing, the Agency hereby makes a determination to proceed with the Project.

Section 5. The Chief Executive Officer of the Agency is hereby directed to (A) send a copy of this Resolution to each entity identified by the Lead Agency as an "involved agency" with respect to the Project (as such quoted term is used in SEQRA), and the Company, and (B) place a copy of this Resolution in the file of the Agency.

Section 6. This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call, which resulted as follows:

Michael Stammel	VOTING	AYE
John DeFrancesco	VOTING	AYE
Andrew Kretzschmar	VOTING	AYE
William Bulnes	VOTING	ABSENT
Ray Stevens	VOTING	AYE

The foregoing Resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

## STATE OF NEW YORK

## ) ) SS.: 📷

## COUNTY OF RENSSELAER

I, the undersigned Secretary of the City of Rensselaer Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency"), do hereby certify that I have compared the foregoing extract of the minutes of the meeting of the members of the Agency held on January 23, 2023 with the original thereof on file in my office, and that the same is a true and correct copy of said original and of the whole of said original so far as the same relates to the subject matters therein referred to.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that (A) all members of the Agency had due notice of said meeting; (B) said meeting was in all respects duly held; (C) pursuant to Article 7 of the Public Officers Law (the "Open Meetings Law"), said meeting was open to the general public, and due notice of the time and place of said meeting was given in accordance with such Open Meetings Law; and (D) there was a quorum of the members of the Agency present throughout said meeting.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that, as of the date hereof, the attached Resolution is in full force and effect and has not been amended, repealed or rescinded.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Agency this 23' day of January, 2023.

057198.00001 Business 23358393v2

(SEAL)

## EXHIBIT A

## FINDINGS STATEMENT

## - SEE ATTACHED -

057198.00003 Business 22966776v2

## Statement of Findings Kiliaen's Landing GEIS City of Rensselaer, Rensselaer County, New York Adopted August 13, 2018

### GENERAL

The Study Area consists of approximately 75-acres of land in the northwest portion of the City of Rensselaer in Rensselaer County. It is generally bounded by the Hudson River, Livingston Avenue Bridge, the Town of North Greenbush and the CSX Railroad right-of-way. The Study Area is undeveloped with the exception of the Forbes Avenue Boat Launch and the buildings associated with the underutilized Hilton Center.

Pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQR), the City of Rensselaer Planning Commission prepared a Generic Environmental Impact Statement (GEIS) to evaluate the cumulative impacts of future development in the Study Area and to identify appropriate mitigation to ensure orderly and equitable growth. A GEIS is a tool provided by SEQR to address broad land areas or programs that impact land use and the environment. The level of detail for a GEIS is usually at a planning or concept level, meaning that site details are not necessary.

The primary purpose of preparing the GEIS was to identify a Preferred Alternative for development that would benefit the City and its residents and to take a proactive approach by identifying the potential impacts and establishing the mitigation measures necessary to ensure that this future development (Preferred Alternative) meets the goals and objectives of the City while being protective of the environment. The GEIS and this Statement of Findings apply only to development/actions within the Study Area that are subject to SEQR. Future site-specific projects in the Study Area that fall within the thresholds and are consistent with the goals outlined in the Final GEIS and this Statement of Findings will have no further responsibility under SEQR. Projects that exceed the thresholds evaluated or otherwise do not meet the requirements outlined in the GEIS and Statement of Findings will required further action under SEQR.

Pursuant to the requirements of SEQR, the Planning Commission deemed the Draft GEIS complete on March 12, 2018. A public hearing was held on April 9, 2018. The public comment

period ran from March 12, 2018 through April 20, 2018. A final GEIS was prepared and accepted by the City Planning Commission on June 11, 2018.

#### CERTIFICATION

The City of Rensselaer Planning Commission, as Lead Agency, is issuing this Statement of Findings pursuant to 6NYCRR Part 617.11 of SEQR. Specifically, the Planning Commission hereby finds:

- a. The requirements of 6 NYCRR 617 have been met.
- b. Consistent with social, economic and other essential considerations from among the reasonable alternatives available, the action is one that avoids or minimizes adverse environmental impacts to the maximum extent practicable,
- c. Adverse environmental impacts will be avoided or minimized to the maximum extent practicable by incorporating as conditions to the decision those mitigation measures that were identified as practicable.
- d. The GEIS is comprehensive and contains the facts and conclusions relied upon to support the Town Board's Statement of Findings and indicates the social, economic and other factors, which formed the basis of its findings.

Pursuant to the regulatory requirements of SEQR for Generic Environmental Impact Statements (6NYCRR Part 617.10), the Kiliaen's Landing GEIS assessed the environmental impacts that may occur as a result of future development in the Study Area. This Statement of Findings lists the specific conditions or criteria under which future projects may be undertaken or approved, including requirements for any subsequent SEQR compliance. To the extent that certain impacts may require further analysis, it is recognized that the Final GEIS may be supplemented pursuant to 6 NYCRR Part 617.10(d). No further SEQR compliance is required if a subsequent proposed action will be carried out in conformance with the conditions and thresholds established for such actions in the GEIS and its Findings Statement.

#### FACTS & CONCLUSIONS

#### **Preferred Alternative**

Although the City has long recognized that attractive, sustainable development of its Hudson River waterfront is critical to the future identity of the City as a desirable place to live and work and the Study Area has been the subject of numerous planning studies, it remains

Statement of Findings

undeveloped. Guided by the vision developed for the Study Area the City evaluated a range of potential alternatives including an evaluation of the no action alternative.

In addition to the Vision Statement, the development of alternatives were guided by the Inventory and Analysis, Market Study, input from the Steering Committee, City Officials and the public. Alternatives were developed sequentially at a series of Steering Committee and Public Meetings, each based on input from each previous alternative. For example, although the residential layout in Alternative 1 was viewed as most favorable, the location of the residential structures proximate to the River led to flooding concerns. The layout in Alternative 2 was reminiscent of large scale commercial site, leading to the layout in Alternative 4 and finally the Preferred Alternative.

The chosen or Preferred Alternative was a result of combining "preferred" elements from all the Alternatives as directed by input from the Steering Committee, City Officials and the public:

#### **Residential Living:**

- Approximately 356 apartment units in 5 residential structures of 5 and 6 stories.
- Emergency access via the multi-use path extension under Livingston Avenue Bridge.
- Multi-use path connecting both south under the Livingston Avenue Bridge and north to the boat launch and recreational areas of the site.
- Surface parking associated with each structure.

#### Boat Launch and Hilton Center Area:

- Expansion/improvements to existing waterfront park and boat ramp including parking.
- Continuation of multi-use path connecting the residential area to the recreational area.
- Approximately 60 apartment units in rehabilitated Hilton Center.
- Approximately 8,200 sf each of neighborhood retail and cultural arts in Hilton Center.

#### Recreation-Active and Passive:

- Continuation of multi-use path connecting waterfront park area with East Greenbush and future connections to future County trail system and Rensselaer Technology Park.
- Two-story, 31,200 SF recreation center in vicinity of Patroon Island Bridge
- Basketball and tennis courts, softball, little league, baseball, and multi-purpose fields.
- Surface parking

Additional findings for specific issues and support for these findings are provided as follows:

### A. Land Use and Zoning

A.1 The Preferred Alternative will redefine the uses of the site; however potential uses are consistent with existing zoning. The Study Area was rezoned as a result of recommendations in the City of Rensselaer Local Waterfront Revitalization

#### Statement of Findings

Plan (2011) (LWRP) to accommodate higher density uses, encourage vibrant, walkable development and capitalize on its waterfront location. The Preferred Alternative is consistent with the LWRP and projects in the Study Area will be reviewed against the LWRP to ensure consistency with that Plan and its recommendations.

- A.2 The Preferred Alternative (or any alternative with the exception of the no-build) represents a change in land use within the Study Area. Existing development within the Study Area includes the underutilized Hilton Center and the boat launch area; the remainder of the site is vacant. The character will change from undeveloped/underdeveloped to high density multi-story apartments and recreational amenities including an improved boat launch and multi-use paths that connect to similar paths to the north, south and to the adjacent neighborhood.
- A.3 The Hilton Center would change from an underutilized, deteriorating series of buildings to a rehabilitated mixed use structure that includes both apartments, neighborhood scale retail and community arts space. This redevelopment Hilton Center will maintain its historic character structure while addressing its deteriorating condition.
- A.4 The City of Rensselaer has identified the need for additional active recreational space to serve residents both young and old. The size of the Study Area represents an opportunity to develop these facilities in one location.
- A.5 The acreage available in the residential area of the site will allow a design that provides both an adequate buffer between this area and the existing neighborhood and limits impacts to the Hudson River views from the surrounding neighborhood.
- **B.** Transportation
  - B.1 The Study Area is accessible to Albany and points west via I-90 and the Dunn Memorial Bridge and the Patroon Island Bridge. It is proximate to the Albany-Rensselaer Train Station and Downtown.
  - B.2 Site access is via Tracy Street or via Forbes Avenue. The Broadway/Tracy Street intersection is 4-way intersection with stop signs on Tracy Street. Forbes Avenue and Tracy Street intersect at a 4-way unsignalized intersection. Both existing access points traverse uncontrolled at-grade railroad crossings.

Statement of Findings

- B.3 Pedestrian access is from the surrounding sidewalk network. Bicycles access the site from adjacent streets. There are no formalized paths, bike paths or sidewalks within the Study Area with the exception of the boat launch area
- B.4 Capacity information for Broadway and Washington Avenue indicate that there is excess capacity to accommodate the anticipated increase in traffic volumes.
- B.5 A Traffic Impact Study will be required once actual site uses are proposed to identify specific improvements to the roadway and pedestrian network. This will include improvements related to site driveways and the CSX Railroad crossings.
- B.6 Coordination with the CSX Railroad will be required to identity any safety improvements related to increased traffic accessing the site via at grade, uncontrolled intersections.
- B.7 Site development will require a plan for internal circulation of vehicles, pedestrians and non-motorized vehicles. Pedestrian and non-motorized circulation must include connections to the south under the Livingston Avenue Bridge and to the north with future RPI tech park trails and Rensselaer County trails.

## C. Utilities

- C.1 The City is within the Rensselaer County Sewer District. Capacity set aside for future growth in the City is 1 MGD; the expected generation rate for the Preferred Alternative is 80,000 GPD.
- C.2 The Preferred Alternative will connect to the Forbes Avenue Pump Station upgraded in 2015 via gravity sewer mains and force mains requiring the installation of approximately 3,500 linear feet of 8" PVC pipe. The majority of the preferred development can be accommodated with gravity sewer.
- C.3 All connections will require approval from the Rensselaer County Sewer District.
- C.4 The Study Area is served by the municipal water system operated jointly by the City of Rensselaer and Town of East Greenbush and will create a demand of 80,000 GPD and will require the extension of approximately 7,000 linear feet of 8 inch Ductile Iron Pipe. Fire hydrants will be required on-site.
- C.5 Based on ongoing improvements to the water system, it is anticipated that there is existing capacity to meet the demands of the Preferred Alternative subject to approval for a waterline extension by the City Water Department.

Statement of Findings

- C.6 Stormwater facilities for the proposed project shall meet the requirements of the NYSDEC State Pollution Discharge Elimination System (SPDES) General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activity (GP-0-15-002) and guidelines listed in the New York State Stormwater Management Design Manual (January 2015) or the General Permit and Manuel in effect at the time of a proposed project.
- C.7 Projects within the Study Area will employ green infrastructure techniques as part of the stormwater management plan. The following Green infrastructure techniques are recommended:

Livingston Avenue Bridge	Boat Launch and Hilton Center	Recreational area north of Boat Launch
Bioretention Basins	Bioretention Basins	
Rain Gardens	Rain Gardens	
Infiltration Basins		
Dry Swales	Dry Swales	Dry Swales
Vegetated Swales	Vegetated Swales	
Green Roofs		
Permeable Pavers		Permeable pavers
Porous Pavement		

- C.8 The recreational area will also include the conservation of existing wetlands and riparian buffers and the use of underground infiltration systems under the recreational sports fields and permeable pavers on the trails.
- C.9 The required green infrastructure techniques will be determined by the City as reviews specific development proposals. These techniques will be incorporated in the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and final design site plans.
- C.10 Estimated electric demand of the Preferred Alternative is approximately 3,145 kW and does not include estimates for potential field lighting. The installation of rooftop solar arrays on all the structures with the exception of the Hilton Center could meet approximately 17% of the estimated electrical needs.
- C.11 The Preferred Alternative is premised on the relocation of utility lines either underground or adjacent to the CSX ROW. Coordination with National Grid regarding location, design and cost to relocate the electric lines during at the

Statement of Findings

time a specific project is under review will be necessary. The developer will be responsible for relocation of utility lines.

#### D. Topography and Soils

- D.1 The Study Area is generally flat to rolling; steep areas are associated with the CSX ROW near the Study Area boundary.
- D.2 Soils consist primarily of altered and floodplain soils and will require a geotechnical evaluation to determine appropriate fill material and foundation/footing design prior to the design of specific projects.

#### E. Surface Water Resources

- E.1 The majority of the site is mapped within FEMA 100-year floodplain and is vulnerable to sea level rise (2014 Climate Change in New York State Report). The base flood elevation (BFE) for the site ranges from 21' at the Livingston Avenue Bridge to 22' near the Patroon Island Bridge. Predictions for sea level rise range from a low of 10" to a high of 54" or 4.5 feet by 2080.
- E.3 To mitigate floodplain impacts and potential for sea level rise all development will be constructed as follows with the lowest floor elevation at 25' based on the following:
  - The lowest floor including any basement will be at or above the BFE plus two feet as per the City of Rensselaer's Chapter 105 Flood Damage Prevention Regulations and NYS Floodplain Construction Requirements. This required the lowest floor elevations of 22-23 feet.
  - To mitigate the impacts of sea level rise, the lowest floor including basements should be rased an additional average of 2 to 3 feet across the site.
- E.4 To mitigate floodplain impacts and fill requirements, projects that include garages at the first level will be reviewed favorably. In addition structures should be as far from the Hudosn river as practicable.
- E.5 Areas developed for open space and recreational are not required to be at or above 25', however fill and grading will be determined at the time projects are reviewed to ensure the most appropriate soils for site drainage and site layout.
- E.6 An Article 15 Protection of Waters permit and Section 401 Water Quality Certification may be required from NYSDEC, along with a U.S Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 permit for work required to improve/expand the boat launch area.

Statement of Findings

- E.7 A NYSDEC SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from construction Activity is required for any disturbances of more than one acre.
- E.8 All projects must certify that all requirements of the City's Chapter 105 Flood Damage Prevention Regulations have been met
- E.9 To further limit the potential impact of flooding and stormwater run-off projects will:
  - minimize buildings, paved and hardscape (impervious) areas to the maximum extent possible.
  - Minimixe disturbance on the banks of the Hudson River.
  - Maintain and protect wetland areas and green areas to more effectively absorb stormwater flows.
  - Implement green infrastucture practices as described in Section 3.0 of the GEIS to manage stormwater water on site.
  - Manage stormwater runoff at a minimum to maintain pre-development levels.

#### F. Ecology

- F.1 Vegetative communities across the site include deciduous floodplain forest, wetland, successional old field, and tidal river. There is a perennial stream in the Study Area.
- F.2 Desk top survey and field reconnaissance identified eight federally jurisdictional wetlands and no NYSDEC regulated wetlands in the Study Area. A wetland delineation must be completed prior to any development in the Study Area. The Preferred Alternative does not disturb federal wetlands.
- F.3 The NY Natural Heritage Program identified the potential for several state-listed animals at or near the Study Area including the shortnose sturgeon, bald eagle, cobra clubtail and alewife floater. Consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service IPaC also identified the northern long eared bat.
- F.4 Based on field studies conducted to assess habitat conditions and the potential for these species in the Study Area, additional studies will be required to assess impacts and identify mitigation for the Shortnose sturgeon, Bald eagle and the Northern long-eared bat prior to project approvals:
  - Shortnose sturgeon Coordination with the USACE, NYSDEC, National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), New York Department of State (DOS), and the City of Rensselaer Local Waterfront Revitalization Program will be required during permitting.

Statement of Findings

- Bald eagle -During permitting, proposed activities will need to be assessed according to the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act and NYSDEC guidelines. Future projects should include a tree survey within the impact area.
- Northern long-eared bat- A tree inventory should be conducted during project permitting.
- F.5 At the time specific projects are advanced, updated coordination with the both NY Natural Heritage Program and IPaC will be required.

#### G. Cultural Resources

- G.1 The NYSOPRHP Cultural Resource Information System (CRIS), nearly the entire site and surrounding area is considered sensitive for archeological resources.
- G.2 The NYSOPRHP Cultural Resource Information System (CRIS) identified the following resources listed on the on National Register of Historic Places:
  - Patroon Agent's House and Office adjacent to the Study Area.
  - Clark-Dearstyne-Miller Inn adjacent to the Study Area.
  - Doane Stuart School located on the slopes above the Study Area.
- G.2 The NYSOPRHP Cultural Resource Information System (CRIS) identified the following resources as eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places:
  - William Barnet & Son Shoddy Mill Complex (Hilton Center) located in the Study Area.
  - The Livingston Avenue Bridge and viaduct which crosses the Hudson River immediately south of the site
- G.3 A Phase IA Literature Review and Sensitivity Assessment was completed in March 2017 by Hartgen Archeological Associates to determine the potential for archeological resources and identified the Forbes Avenue and Central Avenue area as moderate archeological potential with potential for intact historic archeological remains associated with the ice houses, the ferry, and other early activities associated with the Bath-on-Hudson settlement. Work in this area will require that a Phase 1B archeological survey be completed prior to construction activities.
- G.4 Review of the Phase 1A Report by NYSOPRHP noted the following:
  - Made-land that was formally within the Hudson River channel does not need to be tested for archaeological resources
  - Areas that have been previously disturbed (and can be documented) do not need to be tested for archeological resources

Statement of Findings

- Remaining areas should be assessed for the potential to contain deeply buried original ground surfaces. These locations would be identified once specific design plans are established.
- Upon establishment of design plans identifying former shoreline areas, disturbed areas and areas where deep testing may be needed will be provided to NYSOPRHP to determine locations where Phase 1B field testing may be required.
- G.5 To comply with these findings, future projects must obtain concurrence from NYSOPRHP that the project will not impact cultural resources.

### H. Aesthetics and Visual Resources

- H.1 The Study Area is generally undeveloped and forested with the exception of the Hilton Center and the boat launch area. It is surrounded by a residential and mixed use neighborhood along Broadway and surrounding roadways. The Livingston Avenue Bridge and the Amtrak Rensselaer Maintenance Facility are located to the south. Undeveloped lands border the Study Area's northeastern boundary.
- H.2 Existing site views from the surrounding area include a combination of forest, the boat launch, the Hilton Center, stripped and unvegetated land, and the Hudson River. Views from the public ROW on the streets above the site are limited by existing vegetation on private property. Clear site lines exist from the Central Avenue ROW.
- H.3 Development (Preferred Alternative or otherwise) represents a change in visual character. Rehabilitation of the Hilton Center and the boat launch area will likely be considered as an improvement to the visual quality of the Study Area.
- H.4 The removal of vegetation to accommodate both buildings and recreational amenities will alter the existing view from the River, the Corning Preserve and the Hudson Mohawk Bike Trail into the site. Site views will change from forested to a developed state.
- H.5 In some locations, the Hudson River may be more visible from the streets east of the Study Area as a result the removal of vegetation.
- H.6 The City will require visual assessments utilizing the NYSDEC Program Policy, Assessing and Mitigating Visual Impacts during site plan review of projects to identify specific impacts and appropriate mitigation measures to protect the viewshed and visual character of the neighborhood and to ensure the visual quality of proposed project.

Statement of Findings

- H.7 Projects will be required to incorporate the following techniques as appropriate and will be identified during site plan review:
  - Site design should maximize and protect public access to river views, as well as maintain the existing views to the River from private property and the surrounding public ROW.
  - Site structures and elements will be sensitive to the surrounding neighborhood relative to height, bulk and scale, building style and landscaping materials. The Site will transition to less intense development and building styles proximate to the site boundaries.
  - Vegetative buffers and selective tree clearing will be employed as practicable. This will be predicated on site layout and quality of the existing vegetation. Landscaped areas will be reintroduced as necessary.
  - Site design will visually connect the Study Area to the surrounding neighborhood and complement existing structures though the use of landscape and hardscape (paths, walkways, wayfinding) materials.
  - Use of high quality construction materials and colors that complement and integrate into the surrounding neighborhood.
  - Incorporation of public gathering space(s) into the overall site design through the use of landscaping and hardscape elements, walkways and wayfinding techniques that invites visitors into the site.
  - All site plans will include Dark Sky lighting fixtures to minimize glare and light spillage to surrounding properties.

### I. Air Quality and Noise

- I.1 The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for pollutants considered to be harmful to public health and the environment. Ambient air quality is monitored by the NYSDEC. The closest air monitoring station is located in Loudonville, New York.
- I.2 All of the measured pollutants including sulfur dioxide, particulates, carbon monoxide and ozone fell well below the NAAQS thresholds at the Loudonville Station in 2016. There were no measurements reported for lead and nitrogen dioxide in Region 4 in 2016.
- I.3 Impacts to air quality during construction would be limited to short-term increases in fugitive dust, particulates, and localized pollutant emissions from construction equipment.
- I.4 All projects must include a fugitive dust plan that may include wetting excavation areas, unpaved parking and staging areas, and onsite stockpiles of debris, dirt, or dusty material; and washing haul trucks and covering loads before leaving the site. All contractors will be required to use street-sweeping equipment at paved site-

Statement of Findings

access points and to shut off construction equipment when it is not in direct use to reduce emissions from idling.

- I.5 Site plans for specific projects will include electric vehicle charging stations and strictly adhere to the NYS Building and Energy Code to limit the additional CO<sub>2</sub> into the atmosphere.
- I.6 To mitigate potential noise impacts during construction contractors will be required to limit construction activities between 7:00 AM and 6:00 PM Monday through Friday. Construction and demolition equipment will not operate on Saturdays, Sundays, State and Federal Holidays or between 6:00 pm to 7:00 AM without specific permission from the City.
- I.7 If the use of pile driving equipment becomes necessary measures to protect nearby residential structures will include:
  - Conduct a pre-construction inspection of all properties within a 1,000 feet radius of the site to identify and document preconstruction conditions.
  - Provide regular project updates to property owners within a 1,000 foot radius of the project.
  - Conduct post construction inspection including meetings with property owners within a 1,000 foot radius to document post-construction conditions.
- I.8 With the exception of the potential for tournaments utilizing the recreational facilities, day to day operations on the site will have limited impact on noise levels on the surrounding area. The development of guidelines by the City to address the type and timing of events may mitigate those impacts.

#### J. Hazardous Materials

- J.1 A desktop screening of the entire site was conducted in April 2017 and identified several recognized environmental conditions (RECs); the Hudson River was identified as a PCB-contaminated waterway by the National Priority List.
- J.2 A preliminary assessment of the Hilton Center completed in May of 2013 also identified a number of REC's, as well as building code violations.
- J.3 Site development will require the completion of additional investigations to determine the extent of impact from the identified RECs and the required remediation within the Study Area. Remediation activities related to specific projects or site locations must be completed prior to any construction activities and will be a condition of any building permit.

Statement of Findings

### K. Community Services

- K.1 At full build out the Preferred Alternative could add 660 people including 68 school age children to the Study Area thereby increasing the demand for community services such as police, fire and education.
- K.2 Developers will be required to coordinate with the police, fire departments, and school district during the review process to identify and address impacts to these services.

#### L. Unavoidable Impacts

- L.1 Study Area development will result in an increase in density. However the increase in density of the Preferred Alternative supports the overall objective of the Downtown Revitalization Plan and the Comprehensive Plan.
- L.2 Increases in traffic have been evaluated and proposed improvements based on previous traffic studies appear reasonable. Prior to the approval of specific projects, traffic study(s) will be completed to identify the specific improvements (mitigation) necessary to maintain the adequacy of the surrounding roadway network.
- L.3 Nearly the entire Study Area will occur in the 100 year floodplain. To mitigate this potential impact all structures in the Study Area must meet the requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program, the City's Flood Damage Prevention Regulations and NYS Floodplain Construction Requirements which requires all structures be raised a minimum of two feet above the base flood elevation.
- L.4 The entire Study Area is vulnerable to sea level rise. At a minimum, structures should be raised an additional two to three feet (elevation 25') to accommodate predicted sea level rise.
- L.5 Development in the Study Area will displace natural communities. The loss of habitat can be mitigated (but not completlely) by incorporating forested corridors through the site and maintaining sections of undisturbed habitat immediately along the riverbank to establish the connections between the river and the interior forest.
- L.6 The visual character of the site will be altered by this development plan. Rehabiliation of the Hilton Center and boat launch area as well as construction on the unvegetated parcel adjacent to the boat launch represent improvements to the visual quality of the site.

Statement of Findings

L.7 Site layout as well as building materials and landscaping will be designed to complement and enhance the site and the surrounding neighborhood. These elements will be reviewed and approved during the site plan review process.

#### M. Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources

- M.1 The Preferred Alternative represents the conversion of vacant and underutilized land to a developed state with a corresponding removal of woodlands, brush and related habitat. Once developed it is unlikely that the site will revert to woodlands.
- M.2 Construction activities will require the consumption of building materials, equipment, energy, and human resources that will not be available for other uses.

#### N. Use and Conservation of Energy

- N.1 All construction must meet or exceed NYS Building Construction Code and NYS Energy Conservation Construction Code.
- N.2 Internal circulation will be designed to encourage residents of Kiliaen's Landing to minimize vehicle use between the various elements of the site.
- N.3 Multi-use pathways will connect to existing and planned future pathways south under the Livingston Avenue Bridge and to the north to future trails in the Rensselaer Tech Park and the Rensselaer County Trail System.
- N.4 The installation of car charging stations will be required. The location and number of stations to be specified during site plan review.
- N.5 Proposed structures (with the exception of the Hilton Center) must consider the use of rooftop solar.
- N.6 Landscaping will be designed to reduce the heat island effect such as shading parking areas and sidewalks and the use of light colored pervious or permeable pavers. Buildings will be oriented to take advantage of natural heating and cooling processes.

## FUTURE SEQR ACTIONS

According to 6 NYCRR 617.10 of SEQR,

Statement of Findings

Generic EISs and their findings should set forth specific conditions of criteria under which future actions will be undertaken or approved, including requirements for any subsequent SEQR compliance. This may include thresholds and criteria for supplemental EISs to reflect specific significant impacts, such as site specific impacts, that were not adequately addressed or analyzed in the generic EIS.

In response to the above, the following outlines the general criteria under which future SEQR actions will take place within the Study Area. As stated in 6NYCRR 617.15(c)(1):

No further SEQR compliance is required if a subsequent site specific action will be carried out in conformance with the conditions and thresholds established for such actions in generic EIS or its findings statement.

Therefore, to satisfy these requirements, future development proposals should be consistent with the criteria specified in the Draft and Final GEIS and as finalized in this Statement of Findings. These criteria include the mitigation measures discussed for each environmental issue.

In the event subsequent proposed actions were adequately addressed in the GEIS but not adequately addressed in the findings statement, an amended findings statement must be prepared. If subsequent proposed actions were not addressed or not adequately addressed in the GEIS and the subsequent actions will not result in any significant environmental impacts, then SEQR requires only that a negative declaration be prepared. However, SEQR requires a supplement to the final generic EIS if:

...the subsequent proposed action was not addressed or was not adequately addressed in the generic EIS and the subsequent action may have one or more significant adverse environmental impacts.

As future development is proposed within the Study Area, the lead agency for each proposed action will be responsible for carrying out the requirements of 6 NYCRR 617. This will require the Lead Agency to interpret the Statement of Findings prepared for the Study Area, as it specifically relates to the development project being proposed. To provide the Lead Agency with sufficient documentation to compare the parameters and impacts of a site specific project with the

Statement of Findings

Findings Statement, each project that is subject to SEQR (Type 1 or Unlisted Action) must prepare a Full Environmental Assessment Form.

Statement of Findings

## EXHIBIT B

## NEGATIVE DECLARATION

## - SEE ATTACHED –

057198.00003 Business 22966776v2



## CITY OF RENSSELAER PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES



DATE:	January	9 <sup>th</sup> .	2023
	e controlour j	- 2	

**<u>TIME:</u>** 6:30 PM

REGULARLY SCHEDULED

SPECIAL MEETING

IN PERSON ONLY\*

HYBRID\*

\*IN PERSON AND HYBRID MEETINGS ARE HELD AT THE RENSSELAER CITY HALL AT 62 WASHINGTON STREET, RENSSELAER, NY 12144

MEMBER	PRESENT	ABSENT
Ray Stevens		
Ed Schillaci	X	
Salena Dabbs	X	
George Farrell	X	
Tom Cardamone		
Fred Weakley	X	
Frank McCullain	X	

## STAFF PRESENT

Ketura Vics, Planning Director, Jack Spath, Counsel

## CALL TO ORDER

Ed Schillaci called the meeting of the City of Rensselaer Planning Commission to order at 6:32 PM. Roll call was taken and quorum was determined.

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Motion Made: Salena Dabbs made a motion to approve the December 12<sup>th</sup> regular meeting minutes. Ed Schillaci seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

MEMBER	YES	NO	ABSTAIN	RECUSE
Ray Stevens				





MEETING MINUTES

Ed Schillaci	X	
Salena Dabbs	X	
George Farrell	X	
Tom Cardamone		
Fred Weakley	X	
Frank McCullain	X	

## **OLD BUSINESS**

**20 Forbes Avenue:** Jonathon DeForest of BBL Inc. is requesting final site plan approval for the adaptive reuse of the former Hilton Center into a mixed use building including 72 apartments and commercial space.

**Motion Made:** Ed Schillaci made a motion to accept the findings of the traffic study provided by VHB Engineering based on an informed recommendation from City staff (attached). Based on the findings of the traffic study, the Commission determined that the conditions identified in the final GEIS adopted on August 13, 2018 (the "Findings Statement") relating to the 75-acre parcel(s) known as Kiliaen's Landing (the "Study Area") has been satisfied. Therefore, the Commission further determined (1) that the project to be completed by BBL Inc. and located at 20 Forbes Avenue (the "Project") was located within the Study Area, and (2) that the completion of the Project was a "Type I action" which was adequately addressed in the Findings Statement and will not have a "significant effect on the environment" and accordingly, that no further action was required with respect to the Project-George Farrell seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously, and the Commission therefore issued a Negative Declaration with respect to the Project.

MEMBER	YES	NO	ABSTAIN	RECUSE
Ray Stevens				
Ed Schillaci	X			
Salena Dabbs	X			
George Farrell	X			
Tom Cardamone				
Fred Weakley	X			
Frank McCullain	X			



## CITY OF RENSSELAER PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES



**Motion Made:** Ed Schillaci made a motion to grant final site plan approval to the Barnet Mills redevelopment. George Farrell seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

### Voting Record:

MEMBER	YES	NO	ABSTAIN	RECUSE
Ray Stevens				
Ed Schillaci	X			
Salena Dabbs	X			
George Farrell	X			
Tom Cardamone				
Fred Weakley	X			
Frank McCullain	X			

## NEW BUSINESS

**1304 Washington Avenue:** Uday Patel is requesting preliminary site plan approval to convert the existing gas station and repair shop into a gas station and neighborhood convenience shop

**Motion Made:** Frank McCullain made a motion to declare the Planning Commission as lead agency and make a Type II determination for this project based on Section 617.4(c)(2) of NYCRR, warranting no further action. Salena Dabbs seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

MEMBER	YES	NO	ABSTAIN	RECUSE
Ray Stevens				
Ed Schillaci	Х			
Salena Dabbs	Х			
George Farrell	Х			
Tom Cardamone				





MEETING MINUTES

Fred Weakley	X		
Frank McCullain	Х		

**Motion Made:** Frank McCullain made a motion to forego the preliminary phase and grant final site plan approval on the condition that the on-site dumpster has locks and is fully screened by a 6' slatted fence or equivalent. Salena Dabbs seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

## Voting Record:

MEMBER	YES	NO	ABSTAIN	RECUSE
Ray Stevens				
Ed Schillaci	X			
Salena Dabbs	X			V
George Farrell	X			
Tom Cardamone				
Fred Weakley	X			
Frank McCullain	X			

**50 Herrick Street:** McManus Partners, LLC is requesting preliminary site plan approval and an 80% parking waiver to convert the building from religious-exempt to mixed-use, including 49 market rate apartments and 8,000 square feet of commercial

**Motion Made:** Salena Dabbs made a motion to declare the Planning Commission lead agency and declare the action is Unlisted, warranting the provision of a parking plan before making a determination of significance. George Farrell seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

MEMBER	YES	NO	ABSTAIN	RECUSE
Ray Stevens				
Ed Schillaci				Х





**MEETING MINUTES** 

Salena Dabbs	X	
George Farrell	X	
Tom Cardamone		
Fred Weakley	X	
Frank McCullain	X	

**Motion Made:** Fred Weakley made a motion to grant the 80% parking waiver and preliminary site plan approval on the condition that a complete parking plan be submitted before the project is considered for final site plan approval and referred the project to the Zoning Board of Appeals for a parking variance, need be. Frank McCullain seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

## Voting Record:

MEMBER	YES	NO	ABSTAIN	RECUSE
Ray Stevens				
Ed Schillaci				X
Salena Dabbs	X			
George Farrell	X			
Tom Cardamone				
Fred Weakley	X		2	
Frank McCullain	X			

## ADJOURNMENT

**Motion Made:** George Farrell made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 7:34 PM. Frank McCullain seconded the motion. Motion carried, meeting was adjourned.

MEMBER	YES	NO	ABSTAIN	RECUSE
Ray Stevens				





**MEETING MINUTES** 

Ed Schillaci	X		
Salena Dabbs	X		
George Farrell	X		
Tom Cardamone			
Fred Weakley	X		
Frank McCullain	X		

## NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULE MEETING

February 13th, 2023 at 6:30 PM

## RECORD OF DECISIONS

An official record of decisions noting the details of motions and votes from this meeting along with any conditions & stipulations of approval has been filed with the City Clerk and a copy maintained in Planning Department records.

Respectfully Submitted, Ketura Vics, Planning Director

## EXHIBIT C

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM

## - SEE ATTACHED -

057198.00003 Business 22966776v2

### Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

#### **Instructions for Completing Part 1**

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the **applicant or** project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

#### A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:

Barnet Mills Mixed Use Development

Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):

20 Forbes Ave Rensselaer, tax map# 133.-3-7 and tax map#133.69-1-2

Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):

The Applicant will complete a certified historic rehabilitation (certified by SHPO and National Park Service) of the historic William Barnet & Son Shoddy Mill, a series of 8 historically related mill buildings along the Hudson River. The resulting Barnett Mills will be a mixed-use residential and commercial property with 78,286 SF of residential space and 19, 996 SF of commercial space. Residential units will be market rate and designed to maximize the views of the river and Albany shoreline. Commercial spaces will be designed to provide services and facilities for businesses serving the boating and marine community that uses the City park and boat launch adjacent to Barnett Mills. Site work to include access roads, sidewalks, parking lots, and utilities as needed.

Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone: <b>518 857 1246</b> E-Mail: jdeforest@bblinc		
BBL Barnet, LLC			
Address: 302 Washington Avenue Extension			
City/PO: Albany	State: New York	Zip Code: 12203	
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone:		
	E-Mail:		
Address:			
	State:	Zip Code:	
Address: City/PO: Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	1001101000000000		
City/PO:	Telephone: 518 857 020	00	
City/PO: Property Owner (if not same as sponsor): Bath Springs Development Co., LLC Address:	1001101000000000	00	
City/PO: Property Owner (if not same as sponsor): Bath Springs Development Co., LLC	Telephone: 518 857 020	00	

Page 1 of 13

## **B.** Government Approvals

Government Entity		If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application Date (Actual or projected)	
a. City Counsel, Town Board, or Village Board of Trustees				
b. City, Town or Village Planning Board or Commiss	☑Yes□No sion	City of Rensselaer Planning Commision-site plan approval		
c. City, Town or Village Zoning Board of Ap	<b>☑</b> Yes⊡No peals	City of Rensselaer ZBA - area variances special use permit	to be determined	
d. Other local agencies	□Yes□No	City of Rensselaer Building Dept - Permit City of Rensselaer IDA - funding	to be determined	
e. County agencies	<b>□</b> Yes <b>□</b> No			
f. Regional agencies	□Yes□No			
g. State agencies	<b>Z</b> Yes No	NYSDEC-SWPPP		
h. Federal agencies	□Yes□No			
<ul> <li>i. Coastal Resources.</li> <li>i. Is the project site within</li> </ul>	a Coastal Area, o	or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland W	/aterway?	<b>⊠</b> Yes⊡No
<i>ii.</i> Is the project site located <i>iii.</i> Is the project site within a		with an approved Local Waterfront Revitaliza	tion Program?	☑ Yes□No □ Yes☑No

## C. Planning and Zoning

C.1. Planning and zoning actions.	
<ul> <li>Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed?</li> <li>If Yes, complete sections C, F and G.</li> </ul>	∐Yes <b>∏</b> No
• If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1	
C.2. Adopted land use plans.	
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located?	<b>V</b> Yes No
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located?	□Yes <b>Z</b> No
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway; Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?)	<b>□</b> Yes <b>☑</b> No
If Yes, identify the plan(s):	
BL Barnet LLC has a voluntary application in with NYS DEC to be part of the Brownfield program	
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan?	<b>□</b> Yes <b>☑</b> No
If Yes, identify the plan(s):	

Page 2 of 13

C.3. Zoning	
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? MU-1	<b>☑</b> Yes <b>N</b> o
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	<b>Z</b> Yes⊡No
<ul> <li>c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action?</li> <li>If Yes,</li> <li><i>i.</i> What is the proposed new zoning for the site?</li> </ul>	Yes ZNo
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? Rensselaer	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? City of Rensselaer	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? City of Rensselaer	
d. What parks serve the project site? Ren <u>sselaer</u>	

## **D.** Project Details

D.1. Proposed and Potential Development		
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, ind components)? mixed use, multi-unit residential, office/retail, restaurant,		d, include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?	6.10 acres	
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?	0.8 acres	
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned		
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?	6.10 acres	
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? <i>i</i> . If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion square feet)? % Units:	n and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles	Yes No , housing units,
<ul> <li>d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? If Yes,</li> <li><i>i</i>. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercentrial, industrial, commercentrial, is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?</li> <li><i>iii</i>. Number of lots proposed?</li></ul>		Yes No
<ul> <li>e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases?</li> <li>i. If No, anticipated period of construction:</li> <li>ii. If Yes: <ul> <li>Total number of phases anticipated</li> <li>Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolities)</li> <li>Anticipated completion date of final phase</li> <li>Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, in determine timing or duration of future phases:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	month year	

## Page 3 of 13

cp d ·		<u>'1 '1 0</u>			
	et include new res nbers of units prop				✓Yes□No
II Tes, show hur	One Family	<u>Two Family</u>	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	
	<u>One runny</u>	<u>1 wo rainiy</u>	<u>Andee Aumity</u>		
Initial Phase				72	
At completion of all phases					
	osed action includ	e new non-residenti	al construction (incl	uding expansions)?	<b>Z</b> Yes <b>□</b> No
If Yes,	<b>.</b>				
i. Total numbe	r of structures	4 buildings	oo . ( haisht	E01 + / midth, and 0001 + / langth	
iii Approximate	(in feet) of largest	proposed structure:	neight;	50' +/- width; and 220' +/- length 102,000 square feet	
				ll result in the impoundment of any	□Yes <b>2</b> No
If Yes,	as creation of a wa	tter supply, reservoir	, pond, lake, waste	lagoon or other storage?	
	e impoundment.				
ii. If a water im	poundment, the pr	incipal source of the	water:	Ground water Surface water strea	ms Other specify
2 2	1 DAI				
iii. If other than	water, identify the	type of impounded.	contained liquids ar	nd their source.	
iv Approximate	size of the propos	sed impoundment	Volume:	million gallons: surface area:	acres
v. Dimensions	of the proposed da	m or impounding st	ructure:	million gallons; surface area:height;length	
vi. Construction	method/materials	for the proposed d	am or impounding s	tructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, con	crete):
2		* *			transmission in course and
		2 - 1-12-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-			
D.2. Project Op	perations				
a. Does the prop	osed action includ	e any excavation, m	ining, or dredging, o	luring construction, operations, or both	Yes
				s or foundations where all excavated	Contrast of Contrast of Contrast
materials will	remain onsite)				
If Yes:	10/10/				
<i>i</i> .What is the p	urpose of the exca	vation or dredging?			
ii. How much ma	aterial (including r	ock, earth, sedimen	ts, etc.) is proposed	to be removed from the site?	
Over w	hat duration of tim	tion of motorials to l	a avaavatad on drad	ged, and plans to use, manage or dispos	a of them
<i>m</i> . Describe nati	ire and characteris	and of materials to	be excavated of dred	iged, and plans to use, manage of dispos	e of mem.
			xcavated materials?		Yes No
If yes, descr	1be	62 626020000000000000000000000000000000			
w What is that	atal area to be draw	dged or excavated?		acres	
v. What is the t	navimum area to b	nged of excavated?	time?	acres	
				feet	
	avation require bla		or dreaging:		Yes No
		F			
, <del></del>					
b. Would the pro	posed action caus	e or result in alterat	on of, increase or de	ecrease in size of, or encroachment	Yes
			ach or adjacent area		
If Yes:		•	•		
i. Identify the v	wetland or waterbo	ody which would be	affected (by name,	water index number, wetland map num	per or geographic
description):					
P					

Page 4 of 13

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, place alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in a	
iii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	Yes No
<i>iv.</i> Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation?	☐ Yes No
If Yes:	
<ul> <li>acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:</li> </ul>	
expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:	
purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):	
proposed method of plant removal:	
<ul> <li>if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):</li> </ul>	
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water?	<b>V</b> Yes No
If Yes:	
i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: 10,000 gallons/day	
ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?	ZYes No
If Yes:	
Name of district or service area: <u>City of Rensselaer</u>	
• Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal?	Yes No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	Yes No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	Yes No
• Do existing lines serve the project site?	Yes No
iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? If Yes:	Yes ZNo
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Source(s) of supply for the district:	
iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site?	☐ Yes⊡No
If, Yes:	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
<ul> <li>Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:</li></ul>	
v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project.	
vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity:	gallons/minute.
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?	Ves No
If Yes:	
i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day:8,000 gallons/day	National day of
ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe	all components and
approximate volumes or proportions of each):	
sanita <u>ry</u> wastewater	
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities?	Ves No
If Yes:	and control and the second
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: Rensselaer County wastewater treatment plant	
Name of district: City of Rensselaer	
<ul> <li>Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?</li> </ul>	✓ Yes No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	<b>✓</b> Yes No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	☐ Yes <b>Z</b> No

Page 5 of 13

<ul> <li>Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?</li> <li>Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project? If Yes:</li> </ul>	☑Yes□No □Yes☑No
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	······································
<i>iv.</i> Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site? If Yes:	<b>Y</b> es <b>Z</b> No
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	bifying proposed
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	Yes No
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction? If Yes:	
<ul> <li>How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?</li> <li>Square feet or acres (impervious surface)</li> </ul>	
Square feet or acres (parcel size) ii. Describe types of new point sources.	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent p groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	properties,
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
• Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties? <i>iv.</i> Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	□Yes□No □Yes□No
<ul> <li>f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?</li> <li>If Yes, identify:</li> </ul>	☐Yes <b>⁄</b> No
<i>i</i> . Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit, or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit? If Yes:	∐Yes <b>∑</b> No
i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	□Yes□No
<ul> <li>ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:</li> <li>Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)</li> </ul>	
Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N <sub>2</sub> O)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF <sub>6</sub> )    Tons/year (chort tons) of Corbon Dioxide courselant of Hydroflouroearthone (HECe)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)    Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

Page 6 of 13

h. Will the proposed action gene landfills, composting facilities		ncluding, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants,	Yes
If Yes:	);		
: Patients mathema committee	in tons/year (metric):		
ii. Describe any methane capture	e, control or elimination	n measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to	generate heat or
10 March 10 Mar			-
	in the release of air po	ollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as	☐Yes <b>7</b> No
quarry or landfill operations?			
If Yes: Describe operations and	iature of emissions (e.g	g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):	
		se in traffic above present levels or generate substantial	<b>₽</b> Yes No
new demand for transportation	facilities or services?		
If Yes: <i>i</i> . When is the peak traffic expe	acted (Check all that an	pply): Morning Evening Weekend	
	- C		
<i>ii</i> For commercial activities or	ly projected number c	of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump truc	ks).
In Tor commercial activities of	il, projected number o	n a dok a po day and type (e.g., seint a aners and damp a de	
iii. Parking spaces: Existing		Deres J. 1977 Maria Maria	
		Proposed115 Net increase/decrease	□Yes□No
iv. Does the proposed action in			
v. If the proposed action include	les any modification of	f existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing	g access, describe
a Are public/private transporta	tion service(s) or facili	ties available within ½ mile of the proposed site?	Yes No
		ansportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric	Yes No
or other alternative fueled ve		ansportation of accommodations for use of hybrid, electric	
		an or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing	∐Yes No
pedestrian or bicycle routes		an of one-field accommission for commissions to entoting	
P			
	commercial or industria	al projects only) generate new or additional demand	<b>⊘</b> Yes No
for energy? f Yes:			
	mond during operation	of the proposed action:	
3D	mand during operation		
	of electricity for the pr	roject (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid	local utility, or
other):		](8-,,,,,,,,	
ational Grid			
iii. Will the proposed action requ	ire a new, or an upgrad	le, to an existing substation?	Yes No
<u> </u>	1.1. 1.1. a		
. Hours of operation. Answer al	I items which apply.	" During Organizations	
i. During Construction:	7.0	ii. During Operations:	
Monday - Friday:		Monday - Friday:	
Saturday:		Saturday:	
Sunday:		• Sunday:	
<ul> <li>Holidays:</li> </ul>	none	<ul> <li>Holidays:</li></ul>	

Page 7 of 13

m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction,	☑ Yes □No
operation, or both? If yes:	
<i>i</i> . Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:	
construction equipment required to rehab buildings and install roads and utilities during the construction phase	
ii. Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen?	Yes Z No
Describe:	
n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting?	Yes No
If yes:	
i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures: typical site lights. 20' tall pole on a 2' tall concrete base	
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen?	□ Yes <b>2</b> No
Describe:	
o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day?	☐ Yes ☑ No
If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	
p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons)	Yes No
or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage?	
If Yes:	
i. Product(s) to be stored	
<i>ii</i> . Volume(s) per unit time (e.g., month, year)	
iii. Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities:	
$\mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{A} = 1 \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{A} = 1 \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf$	
q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation?	Yes 🛛 No
If Yes:	
<i>i</i> . Describe proposed treatment(s):	
0-	
ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?	☐ Yes ☐No
r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal	
of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)?	
If Yes:	
If Yes: <i>i</i> . Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:	
If Yes:         i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:         • Construction:       tons per         (unit of time)	
If Yes:         i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:         • Construction:       tons per         (unit of time)	
If Yes:       i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:         • Construction:	e:
If Yes:         i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:         • Construction:       tons per         (unit of time)	e:
If Yes:         i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:         • Construction:       tons per(unit of time)         • Operation :       tons per(unit of time)         ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid wast         • Construction:	e:
If Yes:       i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:         • Construction:       tons per(unit of time)         • Operation :       tons per(unit of time)         ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid wast         • Construction:	e:
If Yes:       i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:         • Construction:       tons per(unit of time)         • Operation :       tons per(unit of time)         iii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid wast         • Construction:	e:
If Yes:       i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:         • Construction:       tons per(unit of time)         • Operation :       tons per(unit of time)         iii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid wast         • Construction:	e:
If Yes:       i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:         • Construction:       tons per(unit of time)         • Operation :       tons per(unit of time)         ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid wast         • Construction:	e:

Page 8 of 13

s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility?	Yes 🗸 No
If Yes:	
<i>i</i> . Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, compost	ing, landfill, or
other disposal activities):	D, ,
ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:	
Tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or	
Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment	
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life: years	
<ul> <li>iii. If landfill, anticipated site life:years</li> <li>t. Will the proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage, or disposal of haza</li> </ul>	rdous Yes No
waste?	
If Yes:	
i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility:	
	<u></u>
ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents:	
iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated tons/month	
iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents:	
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility?	☐Yes ☐No
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:	
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste faci	lity.
11 IVO. deservoe proposed management of any nazardous wastes which with not be sent to a nazardous waste add	my.
E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action	
E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site	
<ul> <li>a. Existing land uses.</li> <li>i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the project site.</li> </ul>	
✓ Urban ✓ Industrial ✓ Commercial ✓ Residential (suburban) □ Rural (non-farm)	
□ Forest □ Agriculture □ Aquatic □ Other (specify):	
<i>ii.</i> If mix of uses, generally describe:	
In It life of www, bewerker, www.ker	
	1

Land use or Covertype	Current Acreage	Acreage After Project Completion	Change (Acres +/-)
<ul> <li>Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces</li> </ul>	4.19	4.42	+0.23
• Forested	1.59	1.53	-0.06
<ul> <li>Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non- agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)</li> </ul>	0.32	0.15	-0.17
<ul> <li>Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Surface water features         <ul> <li>(lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)			
<ul> <li>Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)</li> </ul>			
Other     Describe: Dock	0.05	0.05	0

Page 9 of 13

i. If Yes: explain:	□Yes☑No
<ul> <li>I. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site?</li> <li>f Yes,</li> <li><i>i</i>. Identify Facilities:</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No
. Does the project site contain an existing dam?	☐ Yes <b>2</b> No
f Yes:	
<ul> <li>Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:</li> <li>Dam height: feet</li> </ul>	
Dam length: feet	
Surface area:	
Volume impounded:gallons OR acre-feet	
ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:	
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management fa f Yes:	☐Yes <b>☑</b> No cility?
<i>i</i> . Has the facility been formally closed?	□Yes□ No
If yes, cite sources/documentation:	
ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste' f Yes: . Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occu	
. Describe waste(s) nanoice and waste management activities, including approximate mile when activities occ	liicu.
. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any	□Yes□ No
. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?	Yes No
remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? f Yes: <i>i</i> . Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site	□Yes□No
remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? f Yes: <i>i</i> . Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	☐ Yes ☐ No
remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? f Yes: <i>i</i> . Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site	☐ Yes ☐ No
remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? f Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Ves - Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): Spill #3: 2104385,880	☐Yes∏No 6485,0804418,
remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? fYes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: ✓ Yes – Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): Spill #3: 2104385,880 Provide DEC ID number(	☐Yes∏No 6485,0804418,
remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?  f Yes:  i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:      Yes – Spills Incidents database     Provide DEC ID number(s): Spill #3: 2104385,880      Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database     Provide DEC ID number(s):     Spill #3: 2104385,880      Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database     If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	☐ Yes☐No 6485,0804418, 1300591
<ul> <li>remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?</li> <li>fYes:         <ol> <li>is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:</li> <li>Yes - Spills Incidents database</li> <li>Yes - Environmental Site Remediation database</li> <li>Provide DEC ID number(s): Spill #3: 2104385,880</li> <li>Yes - Environmental Site Remediation database</li> <li>Neither database</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	6485,0804418,

Page 10 of 13

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?	☐ Yes Z No
If yes, DEC site ID number:	
Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement):	
Describe any use limitations:	
Describe any engineering controls:	
<ul> <li>Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place?</li> <li>Explain:</li> </ul>	☐ Yes <b>Ø</b> No
.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site	
. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site?7 feet	
. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? [Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?%	Yes 7No
Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: ud	71 %
hoe	18 %
ue	11 %
What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: feet	
Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained: 100 % of site	
Moderately Well Drained:% of site	
Poorly Drained % of site	
Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: 2 0-10%: 71 % of si	te
	le
	te
$ \boxed{\boxed{2}} 10-15\%; \qquad \underline{10}\% \text{ of si} \\ \boxed{\boxed{2}} 15\% \text{ or greater:} \qquad \underline{19}\% \text{ of si} \\ \boxed{19}\% \text{ of si} $	
☑ 10-15%: <u>10</u> % of si	te
Image: Constraint of the second s	te
Image: Constraint of the project site of the project site?         Image: Constraint of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, rivers, rivers, rivers, rivers, rivers)	te
Image: Constraint of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?	ie □Yes☑No □Yes☑No
Image: Constraint of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?         i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?         i. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?	ie ☐Yes <b>√</b> No
Image: A region of the set of the project site of the project site?         Image: A region of the project features on the project site?         Image: A region of the project features on the project site?         Image: A region of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?         Image: D region of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?         Image: D region of the project site contain the project site?         Image: D region of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, its contains wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, its contains wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, its contains wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, its contains wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, its contains wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, its contains wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, its contains wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, its contains wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, its contains wetlands or waterbodies wetlands or w	ie □Yes☑No □Yes☑No
Image: Arrow of single stress of the project site?         Image: Arrow of single stress of the project site?         Image: Arrow of single stress of the project site?         Image: Surface water features.         Image: Arrow of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?         Image: Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?         Image: Does any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?         Image: Does any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, state or local agency?	te □Yes☑No □Yes☑No ☑Yes□No ☑Yes□No
Image: Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?         Image: Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?         Image: Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?         Image: Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?         Image: Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?         Image: Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?         Image: Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?         Image: Are there any unique geologic features on the project site?         Image: Are there any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, state or local agency?	te ☐Yes☑No ☐Yes☑No ☑Yes☐No ☑Yes☐No nation:
Image: Constraint of the second s	ie ☐Yes☑No ☐Yes☑No ☑Yes⊡No ☑Yes⊡No nation:
Image: Constraint of the second s	ie ☐Yes☑No ☐Yes☑No ☑Yes⊡No ☑Yes⊡No nation:
Image: Constraint of the project state or	te  Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Size
Image: Constraint of the project state or	te  Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Size
Image: Constraint of the second s	te  Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Size Yes No Yes No
Image: Arrow of single streams in the project site?         Image: Arrow of single streams in the project site?         Image: Arrow of single streams in the project site?         Image: Arrow of single streams in the project site?         Image: Arrow of single streams in the project site?         Image: Arrow of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?         Image: Arrow of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?         Image: Arrow of the wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?         Image: Arrow of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, state or local agency?         Image: Arrow of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site, provide the following inform         Image: Arrow of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site, provide the following inform         Image: Arrow of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site, provide the following inform         Image: Arrow of the wetlands: Name	te  Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Size Yes No Yes No
Image: A region of the second sec	te  Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Size Yes No Yes No
Image: Arrow of single streams in the project site?         Image: Arrow of single streams in the project site?         If Yes, describe:         Image: Arrow of single streams in the project site?         If Yes, describe:         Image: Arrow of single streams in the project site?         If Yes, describe:         Image: Arrow of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)?         Image: Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?         If Yes to either i or ii, continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.         Image: Arrow of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, state or local agency?         Image: Name	te  Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Size Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No

Page 11 of 13

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site	<u> </u>	
deer		
rabbit		
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community? If Yes: <i>i</i> . Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for desig	gnation):	Yes ZNo
ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation:		
iii. Extent of community/habitat:		
Currently:	acres	
Following completion of project as proposed:	acres	
Gain or loss (indicate + or -):	acres	
<ul> <li>Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the f endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for If Yes:         <ol> <li>Species and listing (endangered or threatened):</li></ol></li></ul>	or an endangered or threatened spec	₩ Yes No bies?
<ul> <li>p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by special concern?</li> <li>If Yes: <ol> <li>Species and listing:</li> </ol> </li> </ul>		Yes
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishi If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use:		<b>∐</b> Yes <b>∏</b> No
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural dis Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:	strict certified pursuant to	<b>Y</b> es <b>/</b> No
i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site?		<b>□</b> Yes <b>☑</b> No
<ul> <li>i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site?</li></ul>	o, a registered National Geological Feature	Yes <b>∏</b> No
<ul> <li><i>i</i>. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site?</li></ul>	o, a registered National Geological Feature n and approximate size/extent:	Yes No
<ul> <li>ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):</li> <li>c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to Natural Landmark?</li> <li>If Yes:         <ul> <li>i. Nature of the natural landmark:</li> <li></li></ul></li></ul>	o, a registered National Geological Feature n and approximate size/extent:	∐Yes ZNo

Page 12 of 13

<ul> <li>e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commiss Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic F If Yes:</li> <li><i>i</i>. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: Archaeological Site Historic Building or District</li> </ul>	sioner of the NYS Places?
ii. Name: Patroon Agent's House and Office, Clark-Dearstyne-Miller Inn, William Barnet & Son Shoddy Mill Complex, Van Ren	sselae
iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	<b>₽</b> Yes <b>□</b> No
<ul> <li>g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>i. Describe possible resource(s):</li> <li>ii. Basis for identification:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>₽</b> Yes <b>□</b> No
<ul> <li>h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource?</li> <li>If Yes: <ol> <li>Identify resource:</li> <li>Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail of the state o</li></ol></li></ul>	Yes No
etc.):	
<ul> <li>i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666?</li> <li>If Yes: <ul> <li>i. Identify the name of the river and its designation:</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Yes No
<i>ii.</i> Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?	☐Yes ☐No

## F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.

If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

**G. Verification** I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Spons	sor Name BBL Barnett, LLC	
•••	X	194 - 195 A
Signature	T Dota.	
	$\sim$	

Date August 9, 2022

Title Authorized Member


**PRINT FORM** 

Page 13 of 13

## EAF Mapper Summary Report

Tuesday, August 2, 2022 12:06 PM

1



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	Yes
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	Yes
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	Yes
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site - DEC ID]	546031, C401083, 442042
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	Yes
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.2.I. [Aquifers]	Yes

Full Environmental Assessment Form - EAF Mapper Summary Report

E.2.I. [Aquifer Names]	Principal Aquifer
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	Yes
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species - Name]	Shortnose Sturgeon
E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No
E.3.e. [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites]	Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not available. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.e.ii [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites - Name]	Patroon Agent's House and Office, Clark-Dearstyne-Miller Inn, William Barnet & Son Shoddy Mill Complex, Van Rensselaer High School
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	Yes
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

Full Environmental Assessment Form - EAF Mapper Summary Report